# Hermite-Biehler, Routh-Hurwitz, and total positivity

Olga Holtz\*

Institut für Mathematik, MA 4-5 Technische Universität Berlin D-10623 Berlin, Germany holtz@math.tu-berlin.de

Key words. Stability, Hermite-Biehler theorem, Routh-Hurwitz criterion, Hurwitz matrix, root interlacing, totally non-negative matrices.

AMS subject classification. 93D05, 34D99, 12D10, 26C05, 26C10, 30C15, 15A23, 15A48, 15A57.

#### Abstract

Simple proofs of the Hermite-Biehler and Routh-Hurwitz theorems are presented. The total non-negativity of the Hurwitz matrix of a stable real polynomial follows as an immediate corollary.

## 1 Introduction

The classical result of Routh-Hurwitz on the stability of polynomials is now more than a century old. Its rich connections with other areas of analysis and algebra have been exposed in many subsequent works. Monographs by Postnikov [15] and by Chebotarev and Meiman [5] give a detailed account of such related questions, including the amplitude-phase interpretation of stability, Sturm chains, Cauchy indices, the principle of the argument, continued fractions, Hermite-Biehler theorem, and rational lossless functions. The interested reader should also see Barnett and Siljak's centennial survey [4] and references therein to find out what control theory problems can be solved using Routh's algorithm. Krein and Naimark's survey [13] and Householder's article [10] explore connections of the Routh-Hurwitz scheme with Bezoutians, while Genin's article [8] emphasizes connections with Euclid's algorithm and orthogonal polynomials, and presents a generalized Routh-Hurwitz algorithm suitable, e.g., for testing nonnegativity of a polynomial. Asner [2] and Kemperman [12] found the link between stability and total positivity of the Hurwitz matrix. The Routh-Hurwitz algorithm, originally formulated for real polynomials, has been extended to complex polynomials (see [7] or [6]) and further to wider classes of analytic functions (see [5]). This list is in no way exhaustive, since the existing literature on the subject is enormous.

This note is not a survey of the field. Nor does it present a new approach to the subject. The note serves to derive, in a most elementary and economical way, three basic results in the Routh-Hurwitz theory, namely, the Hermite-Biehler theorem, the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, and the total positivity of the Hurwitz matrix. Because of this last issue, the setup is restricted to real polynomials. However, the proof of the Hermite-Biehler theorem extends verbatim to the complex case by considering the (generalized) odd and even part of a polynomial. My approach is minimalistic. For example, orthogonality of polynomials, rational lossless functions and the like are not discussed when the only fact needed is interlacing of roots. The point is not that these connections are unimportant, but that they are not needed for a quick and direct derivation of the basics of the Routh-Hurwitz theory.

<sup>\*</sup>On leave from Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 U.S.A. Supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

Two papers, [1] and [14], offer alternative elementary proofs of the Routh-Hurwitz scheme (labeled Theorem 2 in this note). The proof in [1] is based on geometric considerations in the complex plane and the proof in [14], simpler in my opinion, solely on continuity of the roots of a polynomial. Here, on the other hand, three results are derived essentially at once, Routh-Hurwitz being a direct consequence of root interlacing obtained in Hermite-Biehler, and the total nonnegativity of the Hurwitz matrix a direct consequence of Routh-Hurwitz interpreted as a matrix factorization formula.

### 2 Proofs

**Definition**. A polynomial f is *stable* if the condition f(z) = 0 implies Re z < 0. The following is a version of the Hermite-Biehler theorem ([9], [3]).

**Theorem 1** Let  $f(x) = p(x^2) + xq(x^2)$ , f(x), p(x),  $q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . The following are equivalent.

- **A**. The polynomial f is stable.
- **B.** The polynomials  $p(-x^2)$  and  $xq(-x^2)$  have simple real interlacing roots and  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{p(z_0^2)}{z_0q(z_0^2)} > 0$  for some  $z_0$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z_0 > 0$ .

**Proof.** A $\Longrightarrow$ B: If f is stable, then  $f(z) = a \prod_j (z - z_j)$  with all  $z_j$  in the left half-plane. If Im z > 0, then  $|iz + \overline{z}_j| > |iz - z_j|$  for all j, hence  $|f(i\overline{z})| > |f(iz)|$  or, by expanding the squares of both absolute values and simplifying, Im  $p(-z^2)\overline{z}q(-\overline{z}^2) < 0$ . This implies that the functions

$$z \mapsto \frac{p(-z^2)}{zq(-z^2)}, \qquad z \mapsto \frac{zq(-z^2)}{p(-z^2)}$$
 (1)

take on real values only on the real axis. Hence any non-trivial real linear combination

$$\lambda p(-z^2) + \mu z q(-z^2), \qquad \lambda^2 + \mu^2 \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

has only real roots. Next,  $\gcd(p(-x^2),xq(-x^2))=1$ , for if not, then f would have either two roots with opposite real parts or one on the imaginary axis. So, if (2) had a multiple root, one of the functions (1) would have a high-order crossing with some horizontal line. But if  $g(x)-r=(x-x_0)^kh(x),\ h(x_0)\neq 0$ , for analytic functions  $g,\ h,\$ and k>1, then, for small  $\varepsilon>0$ , the equation  $g(x)=r-\varepsilon^k$  has solutions  $x_0+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi(1+2j)/k}h(x_0)^{-1/k}\varepsilon+o(\varepsilon),\ j=1,\ldots,k$ . Hence the function g takes on real values somewhere off the real axis. This shows that no combination (2) has a multiple root. This also implies that the roots of  $p(-x^2)$  and  $xq(-x^2)$  interlace, for if not, then one of the functions would preserve its sign on the interval between two consecutive roots of the other, hence, by a standard argument, there would be a combination (2) with a multiple root inside that interval.

B $\Longrightarrow$ A: If Condition B holds, then the function  $z\mapsto \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p(z^2)}{zq(z^2)}\right)$  does not change its sign in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} z>0$  and that sign is positive. So, the equation  $\frac{p(z^2)}{zq(z^2)}+1=0$  or, equivalently, f(z)=0, has no solution with  $\operatorname{Re} z>0$ . The roots of  $p(-x^2)$  and  $xq(-x^2)$  are distinct, so there is no solution to f(z)=0 on the imaginary axis either.

**Remark**. The beginning of this proof is in the spirit of the argument from [5, pp. 13–15]. demonstrating that A implies that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{p(-z^2)}{zq(-z^2)}\right)\operatorname{Im}z<0 \qquad \text{whenever} \quad z\notin\operatorname{I\!R}.$$

The following Theorem is the essence of the Routh-Hurwitz scheme. It is proved in monographs using Cauchy indices, Sturm chains or the principle of the argument (see, e.g., [7, pp. 225–230]). A nice elementary proof is given in [14]. Here is a different elementary argument based on Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2** The polynomial  $f(x) = p(x^2) + xq(x^2)$ , with p(x),  $q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , is stable if and only if c := p(0)/q(0) > 0 and the polynomial  $\widetilde{f}(x) = \widetilde{p}(x^2) + x\widetilde{q}(x^2)$  is stable, where  $\widetilde{p}(x) := q(x)$ ,  $\widetilde{q}(x) := \frac{1}{x}(p(x) - cq(x))$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Condition B in Theorem 1 is equivalent to p and q satisfying p(0)q(0) > 0 and having only simple zeros, all negative, interlacing, the rightmost zero being that of p.

Let the pair (p,q) satisfy Condition B, let  $x_n < \cdots < x_1$  be the zeros of p, and  $y_k < \cdots < y_1$  the zeros of q, and assume wlog that p(0) > 0. Then, with  $y_n$  any point to the left of  $x_n$  in case k = n - 1, we have p and q of opposite sign in  $(y_j ... x_j)$ , all j, and also  $(-1)^j p(y_j) > 0$  for all j. But then, for any  $c \ge 0$ , the polynomial r := p - cq has the same sign as p on  $[y_j ... x_j)$ , all j. In particular, also  $(-1)^j r(y_j) > 0$ , all j, and this implies that, in each of the n-1 intervals  $(y_{j+1} ... y_j)$ , r has an odd zero. If now, specifically, c = p(0)/q(0) (which is positive, by assumption), then r also has a zero at 0, and since its degree is no bigger than n, those n-1 odd zeros must all be simple. But this implies that  $\tilde{q}$  is of degree n-1, with all its zeros simple and negative, and these zeros separate those of  $\tilde{p} := q$ , and, in particular,  $q(y_1)$  has the sign opposite to  $r(y_1)$ , i.e., to  $p(y_1)$ , i.e., is positive, hence both  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  are positive at 0. In short, if (p,q) satisfies Condition B of Theorem 1, then so does the pair  $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$ .

Sufficiency. Suppose  $\tilde{f}(x)$  is stable and c > 0. Since  $p(x^2) = c\tilde{p}(x^2) + x^2\tilde{q}(x^2)$ ,  $q(x^2) = \tilde{p}(x^2)$ , and, by Theorem 1, Re  $\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(z^2)}{z\tilde{q}(z^2)}\right) > 0$  whenever Re z > 0, one obtains

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p(z^2)}{zq(z^2)}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{c}{z}\right) + \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\widetilde{q}(z^2)}{\widetilde{p}(z^2)}\right) > \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{c}{z}\right) > 0 \qquad \text{whenever} \quad \operatorname{Re}z > 0.$$

Finally, if  $\widetilde{p}(x^2)$  and  $x\widetilde{q}(x^2)$  are relatively prime, so are  $p(x^2)$  and  $xq(x^2)$ . This proves that Condition B of Theorem 1 is met.

Theorem 2 implies the following version of the Routh-Hurwitz theorem ([16], [11]).

**Theorem 3** The polynomial  $f(x) =: \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j$  with  $a_0 > 0$  is stable if and only if its infinite Hurwitz matrix H(f) is a product of the form

$$H(f) = J(c_1) \cdots J(c_n) H(b), \tag{3}$$

with all parameters  $c_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , positive, and b a positive polynomial of degree 0. Here

$$H(f) := \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & a_6 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_1 & a_3 & a_5 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad J(c) := \begin{pmatrix} c & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & c & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Prove by induction that a polynomial f of degree n, f(0) > 0, is stable if and only if the first n+1 leading principal minors  $\Delta_j(f)$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,n+1$ , of H(f) are positive and the factorization (3) holds. Indeed, if n=0, both properties are valid trivially. If n>0, then, by the Lemma, f is stable if and only if c>0 and  $\widetilde{f}$  is stable. But, as one readily verifies,  $H(f)=J(c)H(\widetilde{f})$ , hence, in particular,  $\Delta_{j+1}(f)=c\widetilde{f}(0)\Delta_j(\widetilde{f}),\ j=0,1,\ldots$ ; here  $\Delta_0:=1$ . Since  $\deg\widetilde{f}=\deg f-1$ ,  $\widetilde{f}$  satisfies the inductive hypothesis, hence so does f.

**Theorem 4** The Hurwitz matrix of a stable polynomial f satisfying f(0) > 0 is totally nonnegative.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3, the factorization (3) holds with all parameters positive. By inspection, each factor is totally nonnegative, hence their product H(f) is also totally nonnegative.

Theorem 4 was first proved in [2] and [12].

# Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Carl de Boor and Hans Schneider for their detailed suggestions for the improvement of the manuscript and to the referee for helpful critique.

#### References

- [1] J. J. Anagnost and C. A. Desoer, An elementary proof of the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion, Circuits Systems Signal Process. 10 (1991), no. 1, 101–114.
- [2] B. A. Asner, On the total nonnegativity of the Hurwitz matrix, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 1970, 18, 407–414.
- [3] M. Biehler, Sur une classe d'équations algébriques dont toutes les racines sont réelles. J. reine angew. Math., 1879, 87, 350–352.
- [4] S. Barnett, D. D. Siljak, Routh's algorithm: a centennial survey, SIAM Review, 1977, 19(3), 472-489.
- [5] N. G. Chebotarev and N. N. Meiman. The Routh-Hurwitz problem for polynomials and entire functions. Real quasipolynomials with r=3, s=1. (Russian) Appendix by G. S. Barhin and A. N. Hovanskii. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 26, (1949).
- [6] E. Frank, On the zeros of polynomials with complex coefficients, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1946, 52, 144–157.
- [7] F. R. Gantmacher. The Theory of Matrices, vol.II; New York, Chelsea Publ., 1959.
- [8] Y. V. Genin, Euclid algorithm, orthogonal polynomials, and generalized Routh-Hurwitz algorithm, Linear Algebra Appl., 1996, 246: 131–158.
- [9] C. Hermite, Sur les nombre des racines d'une équation algébrique comprise entre des limites données, J. reine angew. Math., 1856, 52, 39–51.
- [10] A. S. Householder, Bezoutians, elimination and localization, SIAM Review, 1970, 12 (1), 73–78.
- [11] A. Hurwitz, Über die Bedingungen, unter welchen eine Gleichung nur Wurzeln mit negativen reellen Teilen besitzt, Math. Ann., 1895, 46, 273–284.
- [12] J. H. B. Kemperman, A Hurwitz matrix is totally positive, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1982, 13, 331–341.
- [13] M. G. Krein and M. A, Naimark, The method of symmetric and Hermitian forms in the theory of the separation of the roots of algebraic equations, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1981, 10, 265–308.
- [14] G. Meinsma, Elementary proof of the Routh-Hurwitz test, Systems Control Lett. 25 (1995), no. 4, 237–242.
- [15] M. M. Postnikov. Stable polynomials. (Russian) Moscow, Nauka, 1981.
- [16] E. J. Routh. Stability of a given state of motion. London, 1877.